



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,956	04/19/2001	Wendy Peikes	CSCO71241	1694
7590 01/21/2005			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			HILLERY, NATHAN	
Third Floor				
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2176	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Commonstrate   Commonstra		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## Examiner   Nathan Hillery   2176  ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  ## Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Exercision of time may be applied under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a right be timely filled.  **Ething provided of the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a right be timely filled.  **If the posted for rophy applied abover, the maintenine statutory protect will not statutory or provide and statutory protect will not statutory or provide and statutory protect will not statutory or provided and statutory protect and statutory or provided and statutory protect and statutory or provided an							
Nathan Hillery 2176 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than intry (30) days, a reply white new stitution price with the considered simply.  If the period for reply specified above is less than intry (30) days, a reply white the stitution price with the considered simply.  If the period for reply specified above is less than intry (30) days, a reply white the stitution price of the considered simply.  If the period for reply specified above is less than intry (30) days, a reply white the stitution price of the considered simply.  If the period for reply specified above is less than intry (30) days, a reply white the stitution price of the considered simply.  If the period for reply specified and past of the form conflicts after the realing date of bits communication.  Any reply violewised by the Office later than thrise months after the mailing date of bits communication.  Any reply violewed by the Office later than thrise months after the mailing date of bits communication.  Any reply violewed by the Office later than thrise months after the mailing date of bits communication.  Any reply violewed by the Office later than thrise and the process of the mailing date of bits communication.  Any reply decived by the State than the practice under Experte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application and/or election for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is dosed in accordance with the practice under Experte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application of the application of the above claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are	Office Action Summary						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherway a time may be available uncer the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(a); in no event, however, may a righty be timely filled in the period for may be specified allowed uncer the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(a); in no event, however, may a righty be timely filled in the period for may be specified allowed to the shart lever (20) says, a righty which the satisfactory memory of them; (30) casy will be considered filmely.  If the period for may is application shows, the maximum statutory period will adultary period will adultary period will go and will adult period will go the communication of the period of the period period for reply will, by satisfact cause the application is become ABANJONED (30 \ 30 \ 50 \ 51 \ 33) and a second period for reply will be used to extended product for reply will, by satisfact cause the application is become ABANJONED (30 \ 30 \ 50 \ 51 \ 33 \ 50 \ 51 \ 51 \ 51 \ 51 \ 51 \ 51 \ 51							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exercisions of since may be precisionally to emplate the protections of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a righty be timingly filled  - If the pricate in early sepectical advisor, the mailing of since the protection of the protect	The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementors of time may be waited under the proximate of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) 4007HTS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provided of the communication of the commun	Period for Reply						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main</li> </ul>	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: almost examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	Status						
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	October 2004.					
Closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
4)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to . 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of informal Patent Application (PTO-152)    Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 7-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Only Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Man ala akina manuinanana					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>	ents have been received.  Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	A) 🗍 lates is 0	ov (PTO 412)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	(98) 5) Notice of Informal					

Application/Control Number: 09/838,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed on 10/06/04.

- 2. Claims 1 19 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 7, 11, 15 are independent.
- 3. The objection to the Specification has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 4. The objection to the claims has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1 –19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dooley (US005893916A) and further in view of Husain et al. (Red Hat Linux).
- 8. Regarding independent claim 1, Dooley teaches that the present invention generally operates by converting a UNIX man page, including text tags, to a formatted help topic file including corresponding formatting codes that are readable by the Windows help compiler. The help topic file (in Rich Text Format or RTF) may also be readable by another compiler such as Bristol Technology's HyperHelp compiler for UNIX which also accepts a similar format (Column 3, lines 29 35) and that after help topics have been built from the man files, one or more specialized macros are optionally

Art Unit: 2176

executed to handle product-specific situations. In particular, a number of man page variations exist, each of which may require one or more specialized macros to handle unique formatting (Column 5, lines 49 – 54), which provide for b) extracting a documentation requirement from said syntactic structure; c) extracting documentation options from said syntactic structure; and d) combining said documentation requirement and said documentation options into a documentation tag construct (corresponding formatting codes). Dooley teaches that the preferred embodiment of the invention is implemented in one or more Word Basic macros which execute in a specifically developed Microsoft Word for Windows template file (Column 3, lines 36 – 39), which provide for e) automatically generating a template for a documentation content file. Dooley does not explicitly teach a) examining a command definition file for a syntactic structure of a corresponding command. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to do so in using the invention of Dooley because examining the **command definition file** or *UNIX man page* for its syntactic structure would aide in more efficiently converting the UNIX man page into a formatted help topic file. Further, Husain et al. illustrate on page 90 and teach that you might have noticed that commands seem to be followed by numbers in brackets, usually (1). This refers to manual section (p 91, note box), which provide that said command definition file comprises a linkpoint (manual section), a keyword (name), and an argument (options) and that said document content file comprises a natural language explanation of said keyword (name) and said argument (options). It would have

Art Unit: 2176

been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art to combine the invention of Dooley with the teachings of Husain et al. because such a combination would provide the users of Dooley the benefit of a user-friendly extension of UNIX, i.e. Red Hat Linux.

- 9. Regarding dependent claim 2, Dooley does not explicitly teach that said command comprises a first command of a plurality of commands ... However, Husain et al. illustrate on page 90 that said command comprises a first command (name) of a plurality of commands (see also) and wherein said document content file further comprises a natural language explanation of one or more of: a default value relating to said command; a usage (synopsis) relating to said command; a second command of said plurality of commands wherein said second command is related to said first command; and an example relating to said usage.
- 10. Regarding dependent claim 3, Dooley teaches that the present invention generally operates by converting a UNIX man page, including text tags, to a formatted help topic file including corresponding formatting codes that are readable by the Windows help compiler. The help topic file (in Rich Text Format or RTF) may also be readable by another compiler such as Bristol Technology's HyperHelp compiler for UNIX which also accepts a similar format (Column 3, lines 29 35), which provide for e) copying said command definition file (UNIX man page) into a second command definition file (help topic file); and f) embedding said documentation tag construct (text tags/corresponding formatting codes) into said second command definition file wherein said documentation tag construct comprises a plurality of documentation tags. Neither Dooley nor Husain et al. explicitly teach wherein one of

Art Unit: 2176

relating to said argument; an interface relating to said argument; and a number range relating to said argument. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to use and/or modify the invention of Dooley and the teachings of Husain et al. to provide for one of said documentation tags of said plurality relates to: said keyword (*smcdiff*); a string relating to said argument (*smcfile1* or *smcfile2*); an interface relating to said argument (*changed value*), since the results are illustrated in Fig 7 and derived from the document represented by Fig 6 as disclosed in Dooley (Column 2, lines 15 – 20).

- 11. Regarding dependent claim 4, Dooley illustrates in Figure 7 that one or more of said documentation tags of said plurality relates to: a documentation collection (see also) relating to said purpose; a default ([first] description) relating to said command; a history ([second] description) relating to said command; and an example (synopsis) of said usage, wherein values respectively relating to said default, said history, and said example are accessed from said documentation content file (Figure 6).
- 12. **Regarding dependent claim 5**, Dooley teaches that *in addition, after all of the jumps have been created, additional formatting may be performed, e.g., removing other extraneous spaces, commas, and unmatched parenthesis as required* (Column 7, lines 13 16), which provide for **g3) removing embedded commas,** that the character at the beginning of each "See also" style paragraph is removed and replaced with a

Art Unit: 2176

comma and space for consistency purposes (Column 5, lines 20 – 22), which provide for q4) replacing embedded blanks with separator characters; that all of the manual page breaks are removed from the working document, and then manual page breaks are inserted at the beginning of each "Heading 1" style paragraph to thereby separate each help topic. In addition, in block 158, all of the text after the first word in each context string footnote is deleted. This results in a context string for each help topic that is essentially the name of the command or function which is the subject of the help topic (Column 5, lines 5 – 13), which provide for g5) selectively reordering names of said syntactic structure, g6) removing definite and indefinite articles to form a first product; and that a Add Parenthesis macro which is used to add parenthesis around "group" designations that may follow each man page function name. For example, for the aforementioned TOP END product, each man page function is grouped into one of five groups (1T, 2T, 3T, 4T and 5T). The Add Parenthesis macro basically searches each footnote and "Heading 1" style paragraph for one of the groups, and inserts parenthesis around any found group designations (Column 5, lines 55 – 63), which provide for q7) surrounding said first product by diacritical markers to form a final product. Dooley does not explicitly teach fitable values. However, Dooley does teach that the browse sequence footnote is left blank, and at a later date, suitable browse sequence values may be input manually by an operator, or automatically through a separate macro configured to perform this particular function (Column 5, lines 1 – 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to use the invention of Dooley and provide for g1) examining available

Application/Control Number: 09/838,956 Page 7

Art Unit: 2176

fields for fitable values, g2) choosing a best fit value from among said fitable values, since the skilled artisan would want the users of the invention to have the most accurate information thus insuring that the suitable browse sequence value that will automatically be input by a macro implements an algorithm that will discern the best fit value for the footnote and to accomplish it by use of some lookup table, which is one of the easiest and seamless ways known to those in the art.

- 13. Regarding dependent claim 6, neither Dooley nor Husain et al. explicitly teach HTML. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to use and/or modify the invention of Dooley and the teachings of Husain et al. to provide for converting said documentation content to Hyper Text Markup Language, since Dooley does teach that the present invention discloses a method, apparatus, and program storage device for converting text-based man pages to formatted help topic files of the type including non-textual formatting codes. By automating this process, porting of applications is facilitated due to the decreased effort required to port the applications' associated on-line help documents (Column 1, lines 56 62), and The Free Online Dictionary of Computing defines the hlp files (Column 3, line 15) discussed in Dooley as a Microsoft Windows filename extension for hypertext WinHelp files (<a href="https://foldoc.doc.ic.ac.uk">https://foldoc.doc.ic.ac.uk</a>).
- 14. **Regarding independent claim 7**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 15. **Regarding dependent claim 8**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,956 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

16. **Regarding dependent claim 9**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.

- 17. **Regarding dependent claim 10**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 18. **Regarding independent claim 11**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 19. **Regarding dependent claim 12**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 20. **Regarding dependent claim 13**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 21. **Regarding dependent claim 14**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 22. **Regarding independent claim 15**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 23. **Regarding dependent claim 16**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 24. **Regarding dependent claim 17**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 25. **Regarding dependent claim 18**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected along the same rationale.
- 26. **Regarding dependent claim 19**, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 6, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2176

## Response to Arguments

27. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

28. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Hillery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4091. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 10:30 a.m. - 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

38,956 Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

NH